

Tulare County Court

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2016-17 School Year

Published During 2017-18

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2017-18)

School Contact Information	
School Name	Tulare County Court
Street	11200 Avenue 368
City, State, Zip	Visalia, CA, 93278-5091
Phone Number	(559) 735-1640
Principal	Karon Valdivieso
E-mail Address	karonv@tcoe.org
Web Site	
CDS Code	54105465430061

District Contact Information	
District Name	Tulare County Office of Education
Phone Number	(559) 735-1640
Superintendent	Jim Vidak
E-mail Address	jimv@tcoe.org
Web Site	www.tcoe.org

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2017-18)

The Tulare County Office of Education continues to operate the Court Schools in two adjacent Probation-provided facilities, the Juvenile Detention Facility and the Youth Facility. The Court Schools work in tandem with the Probation Department and Health and Human Services to provide incarcerated youth with an educational setting conducive to developing academic, social, and life skills needed to successfully transition to their home schools to complete their education.

The Court Schools have adopted the mission to facilitate high-risk students in becoming proficient in both their academic and life skills to rejoin their communities as responsible citizens. Ongoing development of effective communication, ethical decision-making, and strong social skills will enable this transition.

Standards-based curriculum is provided to approximately 175 minors at the two schools. The academic program is geared toward individual needs as addressed in the student's Individual Learning Plan (ILP). In small classes of a maximum of 18 at JDF and not exceeding 20 at the Youth Facility, the certificated teaching staff presents lessons that accommodate diversity of academic and linguistic skills and learning styles while addressing state curricular standards. Embedded in the instruction is character education which is also reinforced by literacy studies. The English and Math consultants have enabled the instructors to provide all learners access to the curriculum and facilitate their participation. There is a strong emphasis on technological applications in the classrooms to promote improved computer literacy, investigate career opportunities, and enhance presentation skills.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2016-17)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 8	3
Grade 9	10
Grade 10	20
Grade 11	31
Grade 12	31
Total Enrollment	95

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	4.2
American Indian or Alaska Native	6.3
Asian	0
Filipino	0
Hispanic or Latino	75.8
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0
White	12.6
Two or More Races	1.1
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	100
English Learners	21.1
Students with Disabilities	11.6
Foster Youth	2.1

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2017-18
With Full Credential	10	8	9	
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)

Year and month in which data were collected: September 2013

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Based on literature recommended by the State Department of Education; standards-based literature texts (McDougal Littell, Fearon), grammar books (Holt Rhinehart, Winston), and composition (Houghton Mifflin); Computer applications such as Accelerated Reader, Learning 200, and Odyssey(Compass Learning); State-approved texts for English language learners (National Geographic)	Yes	0
Mathematics	State-adopted algebra texts (McDougal Littell). Four-year replacement policy. Accelerated Math libraries, Learning 2000, Odyssey, and other computer applications.	Yes	0
Science	State-adopted life and physical science texts (Prentice Hall), locally-adopted earth science ((Heath); simulated lab software; Local adopted biology books.	Yes	0
History-Social Science	Standards-based locally adopted texts for American and world histories, world geography, civics and economics (Glencoe, Prentice Hall).	Yes	0
Foreign Language	N/A	No	0
Health	N/A	No	0
Visual and Performing Arts	N/A	No	0
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	N/A	No	0

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

The Court Schools are housed in two facilities which are operated by the Tulare County Probation Department. That entity is charged with the responsibility of the safety and security of all detained youth and in ensuring appropriate maintenance of those sites. The classrooms at the Youth Facility are large and receive natural sunlight; our furniture adequately meets our classroom needs; they have been repainted and recarpeted. At the Juvenile Detention Facility, completed in 2000, the classrooms are small and windowless providing limited desk space and room for computers. There are no classrooms with adjoining bathrooms or sinks. There are no planned capital improvements.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

Using the **most recently collected** FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month of the most recent FIT report:				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	X			
Electrical: Electrical	X			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	X			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Year and month of the most recent FIT report:				
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
			X	

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	7	12	29	29	48	48
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	2	0	16	20	36	37

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	42	35	83.33	11.76
Male	36	31	86.11	13.33
Female	--	--	--	--
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	30	25	83.33	4
White	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	35	29	82.86	14.29
English Learners	13	12	92.31	0
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	42	32	76.19	0
Male	36	28	77.78	0
Female	--	--	--	--
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--
White	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	35	26	74.29	0
English Learners	13	10	76.92	0
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students
Grades Five, Eight, and Ten**

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced					
	School		District		State	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	0	0	32	47	56	54

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2016-17)

Career Technical Education is weaved into the English courses and supported by the Behavior Management Specialists. A work portfolio is developed by each student through on-line coursework.

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2016-17)

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of pupils participating in CTE	0
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	0
% of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	0

Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2016–17 Pupils Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	0
2015–16 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	0

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2016-17)

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)

Parental contact includes attendance by parents of Special Education students at team meetings for the Individual Education Program (IEP). The teaching staff is always available to meet with parents to discuss educational needs, especially for those students in long-term programs; the Court School staff provides ongoing support to parents. Awards Assemblies are held at both facilities to recognize academic achievement. The educational program is introduced by students and staff to familiarize parents with the school's organization and objectives. We have informational parent meetings following those assemblies where parental representatives are elected to serve on the Court Schools School Site Council (SSC) and English Learners Advisory Council (ELAC). The organization of the School Site Council serves as an avenue of communication for parents through the submission of questions and concerns to the group for discussion. This advisory group develops the Single Plan for Student Achievement which targets weak academic areas and underperforming subgroups and then adjusts that plan to reflect disaggregated testing results. It is through this group and the Annual Review that program monitoring occurs to address current needs. Parents are invited to the monthly Local Control Accountability Plan meetings.

State Priority: Pupil Engagement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Engagement (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates.

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

Indicator	School			District			State		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Dropout Rate	80	78.2	70.4	41.6	33.5	31.8	11.5	10.7	9.7
Graduation Rate	0	0	15.49	29.18	34.36	41.38	80.95	82.27	83.77

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements - Graduating Class of 2016 (One-Year Rate)

Group	Graduating Class of 2016		
	School	District	State
All Students	21.21	46.99	87.11
Black or African American	100	57.14	79.19
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	50	80.17
Asian	0	75	94.42
Filipino	0	33.33	93.76
Hispanic or Latino	15.38	40.57	84.58
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0	100	86.57
White	44.44	63.08	90.99
Two or More Races	0	0	90.59
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	20	47.47	85.45
English Learners	9.09	16.67	55.44
Students with Disabilities	12.5	10.34	63.9
Foster Youth	0	0	68.19

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions	10.6	7.6	7.6	8.0	5.0	5.0	3.8	3.7	3.6
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

School Safety Plan (School Year 2017-18)

The elements of the School Safety Plan are reviewed and updated with staff yearly following discussion with Probation management and the assigned safety officers. Included in the plan are classroom management procedures, standards of professional demeanor, emergency facility procedures (crisis response, evacuation plans, disaster contingencies), mandatory child abuse reporting, sexual harassment policy, and other safe school strategies and programs. The Tulare County Office of Education paid for the installment/upgrade of camera systems within the facility to tape and record classroom incidents.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2017-18)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2011-2012
Year in Program Improvement*		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	2
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	50

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	2014-15			2015-16			2016-17					
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English	15	12		12	12			11	9			
Mathematics	17	11	2	28	6		3	15	12			
Science	15	8		17	7	1		17	6			
Social Science	13	4						16	8			

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2016-17)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0	N/A
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0	N/A
Psychologist	.5	N/A
Social Worker	0	N/A
Nurse	0	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0	N/A
Resource Specialist	1.0	N/A
Other	2	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	
School Site	10,257	0	10,257	96,236
District	N/A	N/A		
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A		
State	N/A	N/A	\$6,574	
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	43.8	

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2016-17)

Behavior Management Specialists were hired for each site. They supported students with strategies to help them be successful at school which included behavior and anger management skills, study skills, and social skills.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary		
Mid-Range Teacher Salary		
Highest Teacher Salary		
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)		
Average Principal Salary (Middle)		
Average Principal Salary (High)		
Superintendent Salary		
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries		
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries		

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Advanced Placement (AP) Courses (School Year 2016-17)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science		N/A
English		N/A
Fine and Performing Arts		N/A
Foreign Language		N/A
Mathematics		N/A
Science		N/A
Social Science		N/A
All courses		

Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*Where there are student course enrollments of at least one student.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

Teachers received support from English and Math consultants. The consultants presented best practices at in-services throughout the year. In addition each teacher received individual coaching in their classrooms, which included modeling lessons and team teaching. Teachers are also attending Common Core professional development classes. Specified professional development days focused on the implementation of Common Core. Teachers are also receiving technology professional development.